RECOMMENDATION

This document shows the case officer's recommended decision for the application referred to below.

This document is not a decision notice for this application

Applicant B O'Farell Reg. Number 03-AP-2364

Application Type Full Planning Permission

Recommendation Grant Case TP/2568-D

Number

Draft of Decision Notice

Planning Permission was GRANTED for the following development:

Erection of single storey front extension, including ramp for disabled access.

At: Dulwich & Sydenham Golf Club, Grange Lane SE21

In accordance with application received on 15/12/2003

and Applicant's Drawing Nos. 120312003 & 03062003,

Subject to the following conditions:

1 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the end of five years from the date of this permission.

Reason

As required by Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

The facing materials used in the carrying out of this permission shall match the original facing materials of the existing building, including matching in type, colour, dimensions, and in the case of brickwork, bond and coursing and pointing.

Reason

To ensure that the new works blend in with the adjacent building in the interest of the design and appearance of the building in accordance with Policy E.2.3 'Aesthetic Control' of the Southwark Unitary Development Plan.

3 Reasons for granting planning permission.

This planning application was considered with regard to various policies including, but not exclusively:

- a] Policies E.2.3 'Aesthetic Control', and E.4.3 'Proposals Affecting Conservation Areas', and C.5.6 'Metropolitan Open Land' of the Southwark Unitary Development Plan 1995. Supplementary Planning Guidance No.4 'Access and Facilities for People with Disabilities and People with Mobility Difficulties'.
- b] Policies 3.6 'Conservation Areas', 3.8 'Metropolitan Open Land', 3.14 'Quality in Design', 3.15 'Urban Design' of the draft Southwark Plan as placed on deposit November 2002. Heritage Conservation Design Supplementary Planning Guidance.

Planning permission was granted as there are no, or insufficient, grounds to withhold consent on the basis of the policies considered and other material planning considerations.